

CITY OF HAYWARD AGENDA REPORT

AGENDA DATE
AGENDA ITEM
WORK SESSION ITEM

3/10/05 1

TO:

Planning Commission

FROM:

Gary Calame, AICP, Senior Planner

SUBJECT:

General Plan Amendment No. PL-2005-0045 - City of Hayward (Applicant) -

Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Map, related text in Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map, and Appendix D: General Plan and Zoning Consistency Matrix, through the addition of a new land use designation for

Mobile Home Parks

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning Commission recommend that the City Council adopt the Negative Declaration and proposed amendments to the General Plan, subject to the findings in this report.

BACKGROUND:

In the fall of 2004, the City Council had several discussions regarding the potential closure and/or conversion of existing mobile home parks in the city. The nine mobile home parks contain almost 2,300 spaces. These mobile home parks are an integral component of the city's housing stock in that they address special housing needs by providing an alternative to traditional affordable housing. If this type of housing is lost, the City would have a difficult time accommodating these residents within its existing stock of affordable housing units due to the present demand by other residents for the limited number of units. During these discussions, the Council expressed a desire to consider possible amendments to the General Plan that, together with the existing zoning, would further assist in the preservation of alternative affordable housing such as that provided by the mobile home parks. On January 11, 2005, the City Council authorized staff to proceed with the drafting of proposed amendments to the General Plan and initiate the appropriate environmental review.

The proposed amendment to the General Plan involves the creation of a distinct land use designation for Mobile Home Parks on the Land Use Map. This designation would be applied to all nine mobile home parks in the city (see Attachment A). Currently, the mobile home parks are designated as Medium Density Residential, a designation which also allows multi-family residential developments. The proposed amendment includes related text changes in the Detailed Map Legend section of Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map (see Attachment B). In addition, the proposed amendment would revise the General Plan/Zoning Consistency Matrix to indicate that only the MHP (Mobile Home Park) Zoning District is compatible with the

Mobile Home Park designation on the General Plan Land Use Map. If the amendment is adopted, any proposals for uses other than mobile home parks would require approval of an amendment to the General Plan as well as a change in zoning.

The proposed amendment is consistent with the policies and strategies contained in the General Plan. Specifically, existing policies in the Housing Element of the General Plan call for maintaining an adequate supply of land designated and zoned for residential use at appropriate densities in a variety of housing types to meet the diverse housing needs (see Housing Strategy 1.1) and to promote the development of permanent affordable housing units for those households with special needs (Housing Strategy 5.3). The proposed amendment would serve to further implement the above policies and strategies.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (CEQA):

This proposal is defined as a "project" under the parameters set forth in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. There will be no significant environmental impacts, as determined from staff's Environmental Checklist (Attachment D). Therefore, a Negative Declaration, Attachment D, has been prepared in the event that the Planning Commission recommends adoption of the amendments to the General Plan.

PUBLIC NOTICES:

On February 18, 2005, notice of the public hearing and associated Negative Declaration was published in the Daily Review. In addition, a notice of the public hearing, indicating the availability of the Negative Declaration and Environmental Checklist, was mailed to all mobile home park owners, mobile home owner associations, and mobile home park residents, as well as other interested parties. The notice indicated interested persons could submit comments on the Negative Declaration through March 10, 2005. No comments have been received to date in response to the notice.

CONCLUSION:

The proposed amendments to the General Plan would provide greater recognition of the mobile home parks as a distinct land use and a source of housing affordable to those households with special needs. In addition, the amendments would provide for a greater level of scrutiny and opportunity for public review and comment in the event a mobile home park is proposed for conversion to another type of land use.

Prepared by:

Gary Calame, AICP

Senior Plannel

Approved by:

Dyana anderly, AICP

Planning Manager

Attachments:

- A. Proposed Amendment to General Plan Land Use Map
- B. Proposed Text Amendment to Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map
- C. Proposed Amendment to Appendix D: General Plan/Zoning Consistency Matrix
- D. Negative Declaration and Environmental Checklist
- E. Findings for Approval

DUE TO THE LENGTH OR COLOR
OF THE REFERENCED EXHIBIT,
IT HAS BEEN ATTACHED AS A
SEPARATE LINK.

Public Works Projects

The Land Use Map contains only general circulation proposals, primarily limited to the existing and proposed. major street network. Improvements to the roadway facilities are not indicated on the map, but are described in the chapter on Circulation and are listed in the Capital Improvements Program. Where required, the Capital Improvements Program, which also includes other types of public works projects, and any other proposed public works projects are reviewed for consistency with the General Plan.

Area and Neighborhood Plans

As noted in the Preface, the specific area plans serve to refine and implement the General Plan for particular geographical areas. Consistency of plan recommendations with the General Plan is reviewed at the time of adoption of the area or neighborhood plan. Due to the detailed nature of these plans, land use maps may have different or more specialized land use categories than the General Plan Map. For example, neighborhood plans may indicate elementary schools, neighborhood parks, convenience commercial centers, and collector streets.

Detailed Map Legend

Residential

Residential densities are expressed in terms of net land area, which excludes land required for public and private streets. Densities of residential projects may be lower than the stated range (see Appendix D).

Rural Estate Density. Typical density is between 0.2-1.0 dwelling unit per net acre. Typical lot sizes are one acre or more. Typical development is single-family detached housing, although second units may be permitted. Planned Developments may include a variety of housing types within the overall density range.

Suburban Density. Typical density is between 1.0-4.3 dwelling units per net acre. Typical lot sizes are 10,000 square feet or more. Typical development is single-family detached housing, although second units may be permitted. Planned Developments may include a variety of housing types within the overall density range.

Low Density. Typical density is between 4.3-8.7 dwelling units per net acre. Typical lot sizes range from 5,000 to 10,000 square feet. Typical development is single-family detached housing, although second units may be permitted.—Some mobile home parks are developed at this density. Planned Developments may include a variety of housing types within the overall density range.

Medium Density. Typical density is between 8.7-17.4 dwelling units per net acre. Minimum lot area per dwelling unit is 2,500 square feet. Typical development may be mobile home parks; single-family detached, mixed with duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes; or townhouses and 2-3 story garden apartments. Planned Developments may include a variety of housing types within the overall density range. Selected areas have been designated as Limited Medium Density with a density range of 8.7-12.0 dwelling units per net acre.

Mobile Home Park. Typical density is between 8.7-12.0 dwelling units per net acre. This designation covers all mobile home parks and development is limited to mobile home parks.

	RAL PLAN AND IG CONSISTENCY IX	GENERAL PLA.	DESIGNATION	E CONTRACTOR	Suburha: Density	Low Density	Mobile Home P	ed Mediting	Medium Density Hist - Density	Density	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	and Office Co.	Commercial	Manual Densit.	Persiv B	il and Office Cential	STRIM Commercial	Mixed Indi.		Bayls, Recress.		The Space	and Quasi-Public	
ZONING	DISTRICT	ge.	14		ğ	3		$ar{ar{t}}$]	İŝ		ğ	ह			9	ğ					# 3		
RESIDE		rea/Unit)			/		-1-	-		_											7			
RSB40	Single-Family	(40,000)		•		Τ		Τ	T		П	T	П	Т	T				18			7⊗		30/30/00/00
RSB20	•	(20,000)			9			T				T			1	1			NS NS	1		8		
RSB10		(10,000)			5		8	8 (T	1			8			8		
RSB8		(8,000)					8	8											. 18	\square		8		
RSB6		(6,000)					8	XX				\Box		٠L	\perp				. 8			⊗		
RS		(5,000)		_	(D		X	<u> </u>				_	L			Ц		- 8			- ⊗		
RSB4	·	(4,000)		_	_	\perp	9	9	18		Щ	4			┸		Ц		8			- ⊗		
RMB4	Medium Density	(4,000)		\dashv	4	4			1 W		\sqcup		X	*L	+		Щ	4	X			· IX		
RMB3.5		(3,500)		\dashv	+	+		1			\mapsto			-	+-	-	Н	-	SICIONSICION	╢		8888888888888	-	
RM RH	High Density	(2,500) (1,250)			+	+	+	-	W		${oldsymbol{arphi}}$		씱	k	<u> </u>		┝┤	-(1	X	+	-	K		
RHB7	rigit Delisity	(1,250) (750)		-+	\dashv	+	+	╫	8		Н		퓖	90	メ┼	-	Н		**	钳	-8	X		
MHP	Mobile Home Park	(755)		\dashv	+	- 6		+			H	Ť	4	۲	⁴		\vdash	-	9	1		P		
RO	Residential Office			\dashv	+	┪		Ø	S		8	-	8	6	<u> </u>	d	H			+				
COMME																								
CN	Neighborhood Commercial				8	8	18	18	XX		9	5	51		T		П		18)		8	**********	************
CN-R	Neighborhood Commercial/Residential				\Box			8	8		\otimes		8	-E					8	M		8		
co	Commercial Office				\Box			8			9	9	9	٠L					8			8		
СВ	Central Business			\dashv	4	_	_	╀	_		9	9	9	L	1		Ш	_	18					
CG	General Commercial			4	4	4	_	+-	╄			9	2	L	_	4 *	Ш	▩	SISSISSISSISS		_	8		
CL	Limited Access Commercial			\dashv	+	+		+-	╄		2	9	2	4	+	4		ᄍ	<u> X</u>	1		-		
CR CENTRA	Commercial Retail L CITY		H					l.		l				4	١.,			፟.	Ŋ.,	J	L.			
CC-C	Central City Commercial				T	Т		T	Т		т	T		ाह	ar:	1			ĪØ	и - 1	T	Ø		S 380 S
CC-P	Central City Plaza		ı	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	†	╁		H	_	-	ð	譒	1	H	-1	及	(1 	-	88		
CC-R	Central City Residential				\top	\top	\top	1	\top		П	┪			ÍŘ)	Н		888			兹		
INDUSTR	RIAL																							
Ī	Industrial				\Box	\perp												8						
LM	Light Manufacturing				_	┵		┖	┖		Ц	_			┸			∞ .		Ш				
BP	Business Park		Ш										L					<u> </u>						0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.
AIR TER			١.,			_	<u>.:</u>	-	_		-	4	-	-	_	-	4			***				
AT-IP AT-C	Industrial Park Commercial			-	+	+	+-	╀	╀╌				_	" -	┿	-			-	╁		·		
AT-RM	Medium Density Residential			\dashv	+	┿	+-		+-		H	-	7		+	1	Н		⊪	+	[-		
AT-AC	Aviation Commercial			\dashv	$^{+}$	+	+		Ή		H	\dashv	-1	-	+	1	Н	-	+	+				
AT-O	Operations			_	\top	+	+	╈	+		H	+		-	╈	1	H		1	H	-10	5		
AT-R	Recreational				T	┪		T	T		П		7		T	1	П	7	3					
OPEN SP	PACE (Part	el Size)																						
A	Agricultural	1 Acre		8	800	8	8	8	⊗		8	8	8		Ι		\otimes	8	9	9		0		
AB5A	•	5 Acres		\perp	_	_		1	1		Ц	_[L		П		9	9				
AB10A		10 Acres		\dashv		+	-	₩	╄		${oldsymbol{arphi}}$	-	_	L	+		\sqcup		9		2			
AB100A		100 Acres	20000000	\dashv	+	+	-	+-	+		\sqcup	-		4	4	-	${oldsymbol{arphi}}$	—						
AB160A FP	Flood Plain	160 Acres		\dashv	+	+	+-	╁	+		${oldsymbol{ee}}$	\dashv		<u> </u>	╀	+	${oldsymbol{arphi}}$		3	K	9	\vdash		
OS	Open Space			⊗(N/	 	Ø	de	A		Ø	S	죄	k	98	4	Ø	찟	1	爲	Ø	8		
OTHER	Open Space			۱۱۷۲	بابن	الاي		10	لعاد		الحق	الاح	ועס		AΙζ	/	إلكما	W.		ועצו	ועצ	Š		
RNP	Residential Natural Preservation		П	(X)(XX (7		T			П			Т			П			T	T			
SD	Special Design			⊗(⊗(Si d	8	Ø	X	8		⊗l	⊗k	প্ত	िठ		i	0	ଷ୍ଠା	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø		
PD	Planned Development			Ø(8	8	8	8				8	8	Ò	級		⊗	⊗ .	8	Ø		Ø		
PF	Public Facilities												-						8		8	(4)		
				۱										_				_	_					
				Con	nsist	ent				8	Pot	enti	ally	Con	siste	ent		L	JNo	ot Co	onsis	tent		

FOOTNOTES: Decisions on the appropriateness of any "CONSISTENT" zoning district will need to consider the specific situation. Determinations on the consistency of districts listed as "POTENTIALLY CONSISTENT DISTRICTS" must consider compatibility with other uses and overall densities in the area, as well as the particular need to be served.



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Planning Division

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Notice is hereby given that the City of Hayward finds that no significant effect on the environment as prescribed by the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended will occur for the following proposed project:

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

General Plan Amendment (PL-2005-0045) – Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Map, related text in Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map, and Appendix D: General Plan and Zoning Consistency Matrix, through the addition of a new land use designation for Mobile Home Parks.

II. FINDING PROJECT WILL NOT SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT ENVIRONMENT:

The proposed project will have no significant effect on the area's resources, cumulative or otherwise.

III. FINDINGS SUPPORTING DECLARATION:

- 1. The project application has been reviewed according to the standards and requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and an Initial Study Environmental Checklist Form has been completed for the proposed project. The Initial Study has determined that the proposed project could not result in significant effects on the environment.
- 2. The proposed project will have no significant effect on the environment because it simply assigns a special land use designation for the nine existing mobile home parks and does not apply to any other property or involve any new development.
- 3. The proposed amendment is consistent with the General Plan in that it will assist in maintaining an adequate supply of land designated and zoned for residential use at appropriate densities in a variety of housing types to meet the diverse housing needs, including permanent affordable housing units for those households with special needs, such as the elderly.

IV. PERSON WHO PREPARED INITIAL STUDY: Gary Calame, AICP, Senior Planner, City of Hayward

Dated: February 4, 2005

V. COPY OF INITIAL STUDY IS ATTACHED

For additional information, please contact Gary Calame at the City of Hayward Planning Division, 777 B Street, Hayward, CA 94541-5007 or telephone (510) 583-4226

DISTRIBUTION/POSTING

- · Provide copies to project applicants and all organizations and individuals requesting it in writing.
 - Provide copy to Alameda County Clerk.
- Reference in all public hearing notices to be distributed 20 days in advance of initial public hearing and/or published once in Daily Review 20 days prior to hearing.
- · Project file.
- Post immediately upon receipt at the City Clerk's Office, the Main City Hall bulletin board, and in all City library branches, and do not remove until the date after the public hearing.



Environmental Checklist Form

1. <u>Project title:</u>

General Plan Amendment (PL-2005-0045) – Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Map, related text in Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map, and Appendix D: General Plan and Zoning Consistency Matrix, through the addition of a new land use designation for Mobile Home Parks.

2. <u>Lead agency name and address:</u>

City of Hayward 777 B Street Hayward, CA 94541

3. Contact person and phone number and e-mail address:

Gary Calame, AICP, (510) 583-4226, gary.calame@hayward-ca.gov

4. Project location:

All nine mobile home parks within the City of Hayward

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

City of Hayward Planning Director 777 B Street Hayward, CA 94541

6. General Plan:

General Plan Land Use Map designation is Medium Density Residential 7. Zoning:

Mobile Home Park (MHP)
District

8. <u>Description of project:</u>

. General Plan Amendment (PL-2005-0045) – Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Map, related text in Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map, and Appendix D: General Plan and Zoning Consistency Matrix, through the addition of a new land use designation for Mobile Home Parks.

9. <u>Surrounding land uses and setting:</u>

Residential, commercial, and industrial uses (depending on the individual mobile home park)

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required:

None

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	pact that is a "Potentially Signi		Impact" as indicated by the che	_	• •
	Aesthetics		Agriculture Resources	П	Air Quality
\exists	Biological Resources	Ħ	Cultural Resources		Geology /Soils
	Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology / Water Quality		Land Use / Planning
	Mineral Resources		Noise		Population / Housing
	Public Services		Recreation		Transportation/Traffic
	Utilities / Service Systems		Mandatory Findings of Signif	ficanc	e
DETE	RMINATION:				
On the	basis of this initial evaluation:				
	I find that the proposed proj a NEGATIVE DECLARAT		OULD NOT have a significant e will be prepared.	effect	on the environment, and
	there will not be a significant	nt effec	project could have a significant in this case because revisions apponent. A MITIGATED NEG	in the	e project have been made
	I find that the proposed pr ENVIRONMENTAL IMPA	-	MAY have a significant effec EPORT is required.	t on	the environment, and an
	significant unless mitigated adequately analyzed in an been addressed by mitigation	l" imp earlier on mea VTAL	MAY have a "potentially signs act on the environment, but a document pursuant to applicate asures based on the earlier and IMPACT REPORT is required.	t leas ole leg llysis	t one effect 1) has been gal standards, and 2) has as described on attached
	because all potentially signi NEGATIVE DECLARATI mitigated pursuant to that e	ificant ON pu earlier	project could have a significal effects (a) have been analyzed a srsuant to applicable standards, EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARASED upon the proposed project, and the proposed project.	adequ and (ATIO	nately in an earlier EIR or (b) have been avoided or N, including revisions or
Signa	Mary Colar	n			ebruary 4, 2005
D15110				D	
	Calame, AICP				ity of Hayward
Printe	ed Name			Α	gency

ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES:

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				\boxtimes
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?		·		
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				\boxtimes
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				\boxtimes
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				\boxtimes
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				
III. AIR QUALITY Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				\boxtimes
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				\boxtimes
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				\boxtimes
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special				
status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				\boxtimes
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	1			
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				\boxtimes
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				\boxtimes
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	. 🔲			\boxtimes
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?				\boxtimes
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				\boxtimes
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				\boxtimes
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				\boxtimes
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				\boxtimes
iv) Landslides?				\boxtimes
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				\boxtimes
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				\boxtimes
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				\boxtimes
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				\boxtimes
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				\boxtimes
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				\boxtimes
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				\boxtimes
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				\boxtimes
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				\boxtimes
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				\boxtimes
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				\boxtimes
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				\boxtimes
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				\boxtimes
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\boxtimes
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				\boxtimes
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
The proposed amendment is consistent with the General Plan in that it will assist in maintaining an adequate supply of land designated and zoned for residential use at appropriate densities in a variety of housing types to meet the diverse housing needs, including permanent affordable housing units for those households with special needs, such as the elderly.				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes
X. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				\boxtimes
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				\boxtimes
XI. NOISE - Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				\boxtimes
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				\boxtimes
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				\boxtimes
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?				\boxtimes
Police protection?				\boxtimes
Schools?				\boxtimes
Parks?				\boxtimes
Other public facilities?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIV. RECREATION				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				\boxtimes
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project:				
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				\boxtimes
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				\boxtimes
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				\boxtimes
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				\boxtimes
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?				\boxtimes
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				\boxtimes
XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				\boxtimes
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				\boxtimes
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				\boxtimes
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				\boxtimes

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

General Plan Amendment (PL-2005-0045) – Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Map, related text in Appendix C: The General Plan Land Use Map, and Appendix D: General Plan and Zoning Consistency Matrix, through the addition of a new land use designation for Mobile Home Parks.

- 1. The amendment application has been reviewed according to the standards and requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and a Negative Declaration has been prepared for the proposed project.
- 2. The proposed amendment is consistent with the General Plan in that it will assist in maintaining an adequate supply of land designated and zoned for residential use at appropriate densities in a variety of housing types to meet the diverse housing needs, including permanent affordable housing units for those households with special needs, such as the elderly.
- 3. The proposed amendment will promote the public health, safety, convenience, and general welfare of the residents of Hayward.